

(These minutes are published subject to approval by the Parish Council and to any amendments that will be recorded in the minutes of the Meeting at which they are approved).

Groby Parish Council

Minutes of the Meeting of Groby Parish Council held at 7.00pm on Monday 24th January 2005 at the Parish Council Chamber, Leicester Road, Groby.

Cou/297/04-05 Present.

Members: Cllr Hagan (Chairman), Cllrs. Allison, Batty, Beck, Coates, Dickson, Emmerson, Hodges, Hollick, Jones, Kennard, Lock, Marvin, Purves and York.

Clerk Mr J H Flower, Minute Clerk Miss C Morris and members of the public.

The Chairman welcomed Miss Morris to her first meeting.

Cou/298/04-05 Apologies for Absence.

Cllr. Yarwood and Borough Councillor Collins.

Cou/299/04-05 Declarations of Interest.

Personal interests were declared by:

Cllr. Dickson – Groby Parish Residents Group.

Cllr. Jones – Open spaces.

Cllr. Beck – Allotments (Husband and Son Allotment holders) and Druck (Son an employee).

Cllr. Hollick – Allotments and Village Hall.

Cllr. Emmerson – Allotments and Planning Application re Land at Rear of 30 Markfield Road.

Cllr. Kennard – Parish Plan.

Cllr. Hodges – Public Open Space particularly Stamford Drive.

Cllr. Coates – Planning Application re 53 -55 Markfield Road.

Cllr. York – Allotments.

Cllr. Batty – All items on the Agenda as Chairman of the Groby Parish Residents Group and development 53 – 55 Markfield Road.

Cou/300/04-05 Minutes of the Meeting held on the 10th January 2005.

Resolved the minutes of the Meeting of the Parish Council held on the 10th January 2005, copies of which had been previously circulated to members, be accepted as a true record of the proceedings subject to the following amendments:

Minute Cou/284/04-05 – Police Report – Insert before the last paragraph:

“PC Kelly reported that he had made arrangements for Martin Cartwright, Chairman of the Parish Plan Steering Group for to accompany him on a Friday 21st January on an evening/night patrol to enable him to see at first hand some of the problems that were being experienced particularly those with the local youth.”

Minute Cou/289/04-05 – Parish Plan Update – Item 5 – Insert after the second paragraph:

“Mr Cartwright reported that Budgens had given £500 donation to the Lady Jane Grey School Library to purchase books.”

Minute Cou/286/04-05 – Public Participation – In last line delete “Mrs” and insert “Mr”.

Minute Cou/290/04-05 – Correspondence – Item 2 – Insert in the action column in the final paragraph after Dickson, “Coates,”

Minute Cou/293/04-05 – Local Transport Planning - Delete the words in the seventh paragraph third line after “people;” and insert “particularly those in Field Head and the Bradgate Hill area that currently suffers from a heavy volume of HGV traffic.”

Minute Cou/295/04-05- Planning Applications – Insert after table of planning applications:

“Cllr. Purves reported that she had been advised by Cllr. Collins that he had requested that the application in respect of 1 Greys Close should be dealt with by the Planning Committee and not under delegated powers.”

Cou/301/04-05 Matters Arising.

Minute Cou/282/04-05 – Minute Cou/270/04-05 – Correspondence Item 5 – Cllr Batty understood that it had been agreed that the Parish Council would come up with an alternative scheme and keep the residents advised. Cllr. Batty and Dickson had met with the residents to hear their concerns and requested that this be an item on the next agenda.

Resolved that in view of the concerns of residents an item be placed on the next Agenda to discuss the situation with the land at Beacon Field adjacent to Pymm Ley Lane where a nuisance was being caused by ball games being played.

Minute Cou/283/04-05 – Matters Arising – The Clerk reported that following the last meeting an invitation had been sent to Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council for a Senior Planning Officer to attend this Meeting but it had been declined.

Minute Cou/284/04-05 – Police Report – Cllr. Batty reported he had been approached by residents since the last meeting who were not happy with the statement made by PC Kelly that “Budgens was no longer an anti social behaviour hotspot” and they had given him instances of various goings on in the area. The Chairman suggested this should be raised with PC Kelly when he attends the next Meeting.

Minute Cou/285/04-05 – Letter from Cllr. Kennard and Response from Cllr. Batty – Cllr. Kennard referred to the comments of Cllr. Yarwood concerning him writing a letter criticising rather than dealing with it face to face. He stated he had wrote an informal letter to a Committee he was unable to attend, he pointed out errors in minutes and asked that we, not you or they, but we consider carefully our decisions as we could possibly be seen by the public to be intolerant of a range of activities taking place on the playing fields, he did not criticise any councillor personally nor had he attempted to disassociate himself from any decisions made, he was a member of the Committee and had a joint responsibility for the decisions put forward.

Cllr. Batty refuted the statement made by Cllr. Kennard as the letter was distributed to all councillors not just the members of the Committee and it was his understanding that it was very critical of the discussions and actions of the Committee and they were not made at a Committee meeting when they could have been.

Minute Cou/290/04-05 – Correspondence – Item 8 – Cllr. Batty enquired about the situation with the site meeting that had been requested with the County Council to discuss the temporary bus stop at Field Head. The Clerk replied that the County Council had declined to have a meeting which they saw of no value at this stage as there was to be a full consultation with residents by the end of February on the position of the crossing and the siting of the bus stop/shelter. The temporary bus shelter was in any case due to be erected the following week.

Minute Cou/290/04-05 – Correspondence – Item 3 – Cllr Batty referred to the copy of his letter that had been obtained by Mr Sprason and was concerned to know who had sent him the letter prior to it being considered by the Council as Mr Sprason was not on the circulation list.

The Meeting adjourned at 7.23 pm for Public Participation

Cou/302/04-05 Public Participation.

A member of the public asked about the provision of tape recordings of meetings to members of the public as well as to councillors. The Chairman commented this would be discussed under item 15 on the Agenda.

The Meeting reconvened at 7.25 pm following Public Participation

Cou/303/04-05 Correspondence.

The Clerk submitted a list of correspondence, copies of which had previously been circulated to members, for the action to be determined by the Council:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Received From</i>	<i>Summary of Contents</i>	<i>Action</i>
1	Society of Local Council Clerks	Annual Subscription Renewal.	Renew at a cost of £105.
2	Mr & Mrs Gamble, Glenfield	Flower Bed/ Beech Hedge Leicester Road. (Copy of letter enclosed).	Write and thank for letter and explain that decision has already been taken.
3	Mr & Mrs Bramley, Dalby Drive	Stone Wall/Beech Hedge Leicester Road. (Copy of letter enclosed).	Write and thank for letter and explain that decision has already been taken.
4	Peter Gibb Leicester Road	Use of Council Chamber 3rd March 2005	Accede to request subject to a donation of £50 being made to the Parish Council.
5	Hinckley & Bosworth BC	Civic Charity Concert - 26th February 2005 to be held in St Catherine's Church Burbage at 7.30 pm. Tickets are £4 and proceeds are in aid of the Mayor's Appeal Fund	No member to attend.
6	Leicestershire Constabulary	Response from Inspector Ball re Community Policing. (Copy of letter enclosed).	Members expressed their concern at the tone of Inspector Ball's letter and reiterated their full support for the work being done by PC Kelly in the Village. They wished it recorded that they had not in any way been critical of him when enquiring about the provision of community support officers.

Resolved that the actions set out in the above list be approved.

The Clerk submitted a list of correspondence, copies of which had been previously circulated to members, detailing correspondence received/actioned by him for the period 4th January 2005 to 17th January 2005. The Clerk answered members' questions thereon.

In particular Cllr. Batty referred to a letter received from a resident concerning the hedge which had been cut and laid at Mallard Close and how disappointed they were with the outcome as they considered it had been poorly done and in addition had exposed the concrete pots and barbed wire on the Allotment Site. He felt this was a letter that should have been circulated to all members and addressed by the Council. It was pointed out that there was another letter on this subject that expressed a contrary view.

Cllr. Batty also referred to a letter he had written to the Clerk concerning the Council's Fidelity Guarantee cover and although he had a reply he was concerned that members were not covered and it appeared that the cover for any single incident was only £2,000 although the total cover was £300,000. It was agreed the level and extent of cover should be reviewed prior to the renewal of the insurances in June.

Resolved the list of correspondence received/actioned by the Clerk be noted.

Cou/304/04-05 Notice of Motions Agenda Items 7 & 8

It was agreed these two items should be moved to the end of the Agenda to enable the Clerk to circulate a copy of the two page letter from Cllr. Hodges as background to his motion to enable a balanced view to be taken and to ensure the best possible outcome was achieved.

Cou/305/04-05 Seat on Markfield Road.

The Clerk reported on the outcome of the survey of residents on the location of the seat on Markfield Road. 43 questionnaires had been returned of which 32 had indicated they were in favour of the current location of the seat. A summary of the responses to the questionnaire together with comments from residents had been previously circulated to members.

Resolved the seat remains in its present position.

Cou/306/04-05 Quarry Playing Field.

The Clerk reported this matter had been deferred from the last meeting to enable further information to be obtained from the Groby Juniors Football Club on its proposed use of the Quarry Playing Field for the playing of football on a Sunday during the 2005/06 season. This had now been obtained and a copy was circulated to members at the meeting.

Cllr. Hodges reminded members that the Playing Fields Committee had met in the summer and had brought forward a number of recommendations that had been adopted by the Council on the use of the Playing Fields, one of which was that the Parish Council fully consult the residents before any decision is made and suggested as a minimum that should be done before proceeding any further.

Cllr. Batty concurred with Cllr. Hodges but added that Groby Juniors needed to be informed of the Council's adopted procedures. He went on to refer to his letter dated headed "Groby Juniors FC – Déjà vu!" a copy of which had been circulated to all members. Bearing in mind what was originally applied for on the previous application and how it developed Cllr. Batty was in no doubt that the Parish Council needed to be absolutely certain that the use was detailed and specified and there was no ambiguity. Then if the Parish Council was satisfied with the previous track record of the applicant it could be put out to consultation so that residents were fully aware of what was proposed and could indicate their views accordingly. Only after consultation had taken place should consideration be given to moving to the next stage.

Cllr. Beck view was that the Parish Council should first examine the proposal, however she was concerned about the possible contamination on the site that still had to be resolved and the condition of the grass and felt advice should be sought from the County Council. With regard to the access Cllr. Beck suggested the possibility of providing an access at the bottom end of the Playing Field off Markfield Road were the seat was. She also felt playing times should be strictly controlled and use should be on an annual basis pending the outcome of the Parish Plan.

Cllr. Jones referred to Cllr Batty's letter which said "in August 2002 the Football Club decided, not having sought or having been given permission, located a portacabin funded by a local charity with active assistance from Cllr. Jones". Cllr. Jones went on to say that Cllr. O'Neill (*now a former member and then Chairman of the Parish Council*) had met with two planning officers from Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council on Castell/Marina Park and had asked if it was possible to put a portacabin there. The reply had been yes temporarily. Cllr. Jones stated she had not given permission for the portacabin to be sited there, it was not her job, it had to go before full Council. She stated she did not give on her own permission for the potacabin to be located where it was. It was not able to be done without full Parish Council consent. She stated she did not do things on her own and neither does any other councillor.

Cllr. Batty referred to the unanimous decision taken by the Council in May on the subject and asked Cllr. Jones if she was familiar with that decision.

The Chairman intervened and stated that whilst the points that were being made were valid it was necessary to determine what needed to be done with the current application.

Cllr. Lock sought clarification that a proforma had been produced for applicants wishing to use Parish Council facilities, and has it had, suggested this should be sent to the applicant to complete before as the next stage in the process. Cllr. Hollick concurred with Cllr. Lock.

Cllr. Kennard reminded members that a scale of charges had yet to be agreed. He also referred to a requirement to give 35 days notice of use of the pitch which would need to be waived in the event of rearranged matches but the Parish Council must be informed before hand.

Cllr. York felt the Council should start with "a clean sheet". She had walked all the parks over the Christmas period and remarked how little use there was and felt the Council should be doing something to remedy this.

Cllr. Hodges supported the comments of Cllr. Lock that the procedure that had been adopted should be used and followed through.

Cllr. Batty reiterated the need for the Parish Council to satisfy itself about the track record of any applicant and it was important that the Parish Council did not now subject the residents on the other side of the Village to what those residents in the Marina Drive area were subjected to especially as the residents in this area of Groby were predominantly elderly. The Chairman asked Cllr. Batty if he was biased to which he replied he was. He stated he was biased in the fact that it was biased against the residents the way things were done last time and he was determined this time that there was a better balance taken.

Cllr. Kennard stated that some serious errors had been made by councillors when the Club was first set up and the Club had taken an aggressive stand when challenged about its activities. However he felt the children should not be punished for the mistakes of the adults. He referred to the problems of youths congregating aimlessly in the Village bemoaning the fact that they had nothing to do. He felt here was an opportunity to invest in their future and referred to the investment taking place in play equipment but nothing was being done to provide sporting facilities.

Resolved that:

- i) **The Clerk writes to the Groby Juniors Football Club setting out the Council's understanding of its requirements and seeks its confirmation of that as the first stage in the process.**
- ii) **The Playing Field Committee meets on Monday 31st January 2005 to consider the consultation questions and the residents to whom the questionnaire should be sent and its recommendations be considered at the Council Meeting on the 7th February 2005.**

Cou/307/04-05 Car Park Quarry Playing Field.

The Clerk requested clarification on the rent reviews under the proposed lease. Harvey Owston Ingram had included in the draft lease that had been sent off to Druck's Solicitors a clause based on market rent as opposed to the previously agreed basis of a 10% increase every three years which was favoured by Druck.

Cllr. Batty raised the issue of the possible contamination of the site and although the survey on this part of the site had indicated there was very little trace of contamination there was a possibility that once Druck stated its construction works on the ramp that contamination could come to light and suggested that a similar indemnity insurance to that obtained for the restrictive covenant be sought to protect the Council from any claim by Druck should it be prevented from occupying the site because of contamination.

Resolve that:

- i) **The rent reviews be on the basis of a 10% increase every three years.**
- ii) **Indemnity insurance be sought to protect the Parish Council from any claim from Druck due to any contamination on the site that existed prior to the Lease being signed preventing them from occupying the site.**

Cou/308/04-05 Temporary Bus Stop – A50 Field Head.

Cllr. Batty stated that this was a very contentious issue and he had had at the weekend a petition handed to him signed by 15 residents strongly objecting to the siting of this temporary bus stop and a number of letters pointing out how dangerous that particular spot was to life and limb. Residents were of the same view as he was that this was part of an agenda to have the crossing sited at this location which would not benefit the residents of Field Head. The location of this bus stop is causing considerable hardship to elderly residents who have to walk about half a mile extra as the temporary stop is about seven hundred metres from where the old bus stop was located. Residents have to walk this extra distance in an exposed location often burdened by shopping etc. and now the bus stops are at either end of Field Head with nothing in the middle. Cllr. Batty went on to say that it was not solely to discuss the temporary shelter that a site meeting was requested with the County Council, there has been an agenda for over a year to get the bus stop located at this particular spot and that was why a site meeting was requested. Residents of Field Head were not in support of the siting of the temporary bus stop at its current location. Cllr. Batty stated there had been a number of accidents close to the bus stop and lorries pull up in the bus lay-by all the time. It was not a safe spot, it was an exposed location and the addition of a bus shelter would turn it into a shower cubicle in wet weather with spray from the road.

Cllr. Allison commented that the Parish Council should be saying to the County Council that they should carry out effective consultation with all affected residents and take their decision in the light of the majority view. Cllr. Batty stated that only six people had been consulted on the siting of the temporary bus stop.

Cllr. Dickson concurred with Cllr. Batty on the dangerous siting of the bus stop with the speed at which the traffic came off the island. Whilst the bus company had argued that the original site was unsafe, this was incorrect; it was a much safer location.

Cllr. Hodges was surprised the County was committing money to fund a temporary bus shelter when there was a perfectly adequate one just round the corner. He also concurred with Cllr. Dickson that it was an extremely dangerous place to stand. His view was that there were other issues here that were not about the safety of residents using the bus stop.

Resolved that the Parish Council expresses its acute concern to the County Council on the dangerous repositioning of this temporary bus stop and requests that the temporary shelter is not erected until full consultation has been undertaken by the County Council with the residents concerned.

Cou/309/04-05 Claim for Compensation in Respect of Previous Parish Council Solicitor.

Consideration was given to whether or not a claim for compensation should be submitted to the Law Society Compensation Fund following the closing down of M & W Solicitors who had acted for the Parish Council on a number of matters.

Cllr. Batty referred to the fact that the Parish Council now had to pay much higher rates for its legal work and some of it had to be duplicated, particularly that relating to the Druck lease due to Mr McCrystal's negligence.

Resolved a claim be made to the Law Society Compensation Fund subject to it being at no cost to the Council.

Cou/310/04-05 Freedom of Information

The Clerk reported the receipt of a letter from the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister informing that the Secretary of State had authorised the following officers as qualified persons for the purpose of section 36 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 for parishes in England:

Primary Authorisation – Clerk to the Council.
Secondary Authorisation – Chairman of the Council.

The Clerk also requested that he be given delegated authority to deal with all requests for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and to apply any charges under the fee regulations that were appropriate.

Cllr. Batty expressed concern that information he had provided to the Clerk which had come from the Office of the Information Commissioner had not been distributed to members. He felt councillors should be aware

of what was required of the Council and asked that a copy of a document from the Department for Constitutional Affairs should be given to every member so that there is an awareness of what is covered by the Act.

Resolved that:

- i) **The authorisation of the Clerk and Chairman under section 36 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 be noted.**
- ii) **The Clerk be given delegated authority to deal with requests for information under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and to apply such charges as are appropriate under the fee regulations.**

Cou/311/04-05 Tape Recordings of Council Meetings.

The Clerk reported that a request had been received from Cllr. Batty for copies of recordings of Parish Council meetings.

The Clerk requested consideration be given to the Council's policy on the provision of copies of tape recordings of Council Meetings to members of the Parish Council and the period of time such tape recordings should be retained.

The Clerk went on to explain what the current position was which was that the tapes were an "aide memoire" for the Clerk in producing the minutes and were available to any member who wished to listen to the tape if there was some issue with the minutes that had been produced. The Clerk went on to say that copies of tapes had not been provided in the past to either members or members of the public.

Cllr. Hodges saw no issue with tapes being available to members and suggested that a copy could be available in the Library for the public.

Cllr. Allison suggested we should see what other authorities did but felt he would like to see the present arrangements be the arrangements. He pointed out that once the minutes had been produced and they became the definitive record the tape could be erased. However it was his understanding that whilst the tape existed there was a right of access under the Freedom of Information Act and the interesting point was what the position would be between the meetings when the tape recording was made and when the minutes were approved.

Cllr. Batty stated he had been in touch with the Legal Department of the Information Commissioners Office who had come back to him with the information that there was no doubt in their opinion that once having recorded information, the Council has to preserve that information and make it available under the Freedom of Information.

The Clerk stated he would deal with any application under the Freedom of Information under his delegated powers. He reminded members this was about access by members of the Council to copies of tape recordings of meetings and whether or not the tapes were retained after the minutes had been approved.

The Chairman reminded members that the purpose of the tape was to facilitate the production of the minutes and if there was a dispute or a question on the minutes the tapes were there. After the minutes have been approved that is the recording of the meeting.

It was proposed by Cllr. Beck and seconded by Cllr. Emmerson that the status quo is maintained.

An amendment was proposed by Cllr. Hodges and seconded by Cllr. Batty that the status quo is maintained but members are allowed between Parish Council meetings to take copies of the recording of the previous meeting.

On being put to the vote the amendment was lost.

(For: Cllrs. Batty, Dickson and Hodges. Against: Cllrs. Beck, Coates, Emmerson, Jones, Kennard, Lock and Marvin. Abstentions: Cllrs. Allison, Hagan, Hollick, Purves and York)

Resolved that the status quo is maintained.

(For: Cllrs. Allison, Beck, Coates, Emerson, Jones, Kennard and Marvin. Against: Cllrs. Batty, Dickson and Hodges. Abstentions: Cllrs. Hagan, Hollick, Lock, Purves and York)

Cou/312/04-05 Annual Return for the Year to 31st March 2004.

The Clerk submitted a letter from Hacker Young together with a copy of the Auditor's Certificate and Opinion on the audit of the Annual Return for the year ended 31st March 2004, copies of which had been previously circulated to members.

The External Auditor had given an unqualified opinion but drew attention to the Council's current policy of maintaining a general fund balance of £30,000. The Clerk explained that he did not consider the Auditor had fully understood how the policy would be applied where there was a surplus or deficit in any year. The Clerk undertook to write to the Auditor to explain how the policy on reserves would be applied in the event of a surplus or deficit on the general fund occurring.

Resolved that:

- i) **The letter from Hacker Young and the External Auditor's Certificate and Opinion for the year ended 31 March 2004 be received and noted.**
- ii) **The Clerk write to Hacker Young to explain how the policy on the reserves would be applied in the event of a surplus or deficit occurring on the general fund.**

Cou/313/04-05 Planning Applications.

<i>Reference Number</i>	<i>Location</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>Comments</i>
04/01333/CONDIT	Watford Commercials 55 Markfield Road	Variation of Conditions 4 & 10 of Application 03/00684/REM	Strongly object. With regard to Condition 10 no development should take place until remediation has taken place to that part of the site where it is intended that the access road is provided as this constitutes development. With regard to Condition 4 it is considered that the only way to provide a safe and usable footway is by the provision of 1.8 metre wide metalled footway as originally envisaged. The Parish Council further requests that this matter should be determined by the Planning and Regulatory Committee and not under delegated powers.
05/00015/FUL	27 Marina Drive	Erection of Conservatory	None subject to the neighbours not being inconvenienced and there being no other planning applications or conditions outstanding
04/01428/FUL	12 Bedford Drive	Extensions and alterations to dwelling	None subject to the neighbours not being

			inconvenienced and there being no other planning applications or conditions outstanding
--	--	--	---

The Chairman referred to query raised by a resident at the informal planning discussion held the previous Saturday concerning an amended planning application in respect of 1 Greys Close. The Clerk, having spoken to Hinckley and Bosworth, clarified that it was only a change in the wording of the description and not an amendment to the proposed development. Cllr. Batty wished to make his reservations known on this application with regard to the resident living next door and the way his concerns had been dismissed by the Planning Authority; in particular his main lounge/dining room window now being directly overlooked by the extension and his concerns that the soak away that was constructed fifty years ago was satisfactory to cope with the increased run-off from the proposed development.

Members considered a letter dated 14th January 2005 from Mr Pat Reid, Acting Head of Planning and Leisure Services providing answers to questions that had been raised by the Parish Council in July 2004 on the development at 53 -55 Markfield Road. Members were of the view that the answers were not satisfactory and there were concerns that the planning regulations were not being properly applied. The view was that there had been a failure on the part of Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council to respond to the detailed questions put by the Parish Council and this still remains the case. There were clear breaches of the Environmental Protection Act regarding the contamination on the site and the Environment Agency was now involved. The crux of the matter was that the planning regulations were not being properly applied in this particular case. What was required was an independent assessment of whether or not in this case the regulations had and are being properly applied.

Resolved that:

- i) **The Clerk notifies Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council of the above comments on planning application.**
- ii) **The Clerk writes to Mr Reid, with copies to the Borough Councillor and Chairman of the Planning and Regulatory Committee, and states that the Parish Council does not consider that his letter has answered the detailed questions that were put and would still request that a Senior Planning Officer attends a Parish Council meeting to answer members questions and address their concerns but in the meantime the Parish Council will be taking advice on who to refer its concerns to.**

Cou/314/04-05 Payment of Accounts.

<i>Payee</i>	<i>Details</i>	<i>Amount £</i>
Inland Revenue	Tax and national Insurance	1576.80
Harvey Ingram Owston	Advertising costs re lease of land Quarry Playing Field to Druck	361.67
Konica Minolta	Photocopies	22.95
ESPO	Office Stationery	70.23
Cromwell Clothing Ltd	Footwear (one pair boots for operative)	51.11
Sturgess	Truck Service	233.66
Thrust / Gulf Account Cards	Fuel	94.94
RCS Cobley	Hedge trimming	235.00
MAP Waste	Waste collection	35.25
Groby Village Hall	Parish Plan - Hire of Village Hall	32.50
Total		£2,714.11

The Chairman reported that he had just received a letter from the Chairman of the Parish Plan Steering Group requesting a sum of £160 for providing manila envelopes and over printing of the words "Parish Plan" for use with the distribution and return of the questionnaires and stated that this would be an item on the next agenda and hoped members would give it their support. Members affirmed they would.

Resolved the payment of the above accounts be approved.

Cou/315/04-05 Notice of Motions Agenda Items 7 & 8 – Grounds Maintenance Staff and Best Value Review/Efficiency Audit.

Members discussed at some length the issues surrounding the two motions and how to proceed.

Resolved that these two items be deferred to a Special Meeting of the Parish Council to be held on Monday 31st January 2005 at 7.30 pm.

Cou/316/04-05 Date of Next Meeting.

Monday 31st January 2005 at 7.30 pm at the Council Offices.

The Meeting closed at 10.00 pm.

Signed.....Date.....
Chairman